1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA NORTHERN DIVISION			1		CONTENTS	
2				2		WITNESSES	
3				3			PAGE
4	SIOUX RURAL WATER SYSTEM, INC., a Non-Profit Corporation,		4	RICHARD WAGNER			
5		Plaintiff,	Civil No.	5		Examination by Mr. Cole Examination by Mr. Hieb	4 30
6	vs.		15-1023	6		Examination by Mr. Hieb	30
7	CITY OF WATERTOWN, a South Dakota Municipality, and WATERTOWN MUNICIPAL UTILITIES, an agency of the CITY OF WATERTOWN,			7			
8				8		EXHIBITS	
9				9	Darrow No.	DECENTRATION	MARKED
10	Defendants.			10	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	MARKED
11				11		(NOVE)	
12				12		(NONE)	
13				13			
14	DEPOSITION OF RICHARD WAGNER			14			
15				15			
16				16			
17				17			
18				18			
19	DATE:	Tuesday, September 20	, 2016	19			
20	PLACE	AE2S, Inc.		20			
21		AE2S, Inc. 4170 South 28th Avenu Fargo, North Dakota	e	21			
22	TIME:	3:17 p.m.		22			
23	REPORTED BY:	Deanna L. Sager, R.P.	R., R.M.R.	23			, 43
24				24			
25				25			
				1			3

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:  Zimmer, Duncan and Cole, L.L.P. Attorneys at Law SOOO South Broadband Lane Suite 119 Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108 By: Jeff Cole Jcole@zdclaw.com FOR THE DEFENDANTS:  Richardson, Wyly, Wise, Sauck & Hieb, LLP Richardson, Wyly, Wise, Sauck & Hieb, LLP Richardson, Wyly, Wise, Sauck & Hieb, LLP Richardson, South Dakota 57402 LAW LOURT Street P.O. Box 1030 Aberdeen, South Dakota 57402 Sy: Jack, H. Hieb Jinieb@rwwsh.com Jistucke@rwwsh.com Jistucke@rwwsh.com  A Richard is Richard is A Richard is			
FOR THE PLAINTIFF:  Zimmer, Duncan and Cole, L.L.P. Attorneys at Law SOUD South Broadband Lane Suite 119 Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108 By: Jeff Cole Jicole@zdclaw.com  FOR THE DEFENDANTS:  Richardson, Wyly, Wise, Sauck & Hieb, LLP Richard Wa Lourt Street P.O. Box 1030 Aberdeen, South Dakota 57402 Jineb@rwwsh.com Jistucke@rwwsh.com Jistucke@rwwsh.com Jistucke@rwwsh.com Jistucke@rwwsh.com Jistucke@rwsh.com Jistucke@rwsh.co	PROCEEDINGS		
Zimmer, Duncan and Cole, L.L.P. Attorneys at Law SO00 South Broadband Lane Suite 119 Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108 By: Jeff Cole Jcole@zdclaw.com  FOR THE DEFENDANTS:  Richardson, Wyly, Wise, Sauck & Hieb, LLP Attorneys at Law 1 Court Street P.O. Box 1030 Aberdeen, South pakota 57402 By: Jack H. Hieb Jiniebernwish.com Jiniebernwish.	, the deposition of RICHARD		
Attorneys at Law SOUO South Broadband Lane Suite 119 Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108 By: leff Cole jcole@zdclaw.com  FOR THE DEFENDANTS:  Richardson, wyly, wise, Sauck & Hieb, LLP Attorneys at Law Court Street P.O. Box 1030 A Richard wa Lack H. Hieb Distucke@rwwsh.com Distucke@rwwsh.com Distucke@rwwsh.com Distucke@rwwsh.com Distucke@rwwsh.com Distucke@rwwsh.com Distucke@rwwsh.com Distucke@rwsh.com Distuck	WAGNER commenced at 3:17 p.m. as follows:)		
Suite 119 Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108 By: leff Cole Jcole@zdclaw.com  FOR THE DEFENDANTS:  Richardson, Wyly, Wise, Sauck & Hieb, LLP Attorneys at Law Court Street P.O. Box 1030 Aberdeen, South Dakota 57402 By: lack H. Hieb Jinieb@rwwsh.com Jistucke@rwwsh.com Jistucke@rwwsh.com Jistucke@rwwsh.com Jistucke@rwwsh.com Jistucke@rwwsh.com Jistucke@rwwsh.com Jistucke@rwwsh.com Jistucke@rwsh.com Jistucke@rwsh	RD WAGNER,		
8 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: 9 Richardson, Wyly, Wise, Sauck & Hieb, LLP 1 Court Street 1 Aberdeen, South pakota 57402 1 Aberdeen, South pakota 57402 1 Jack H. Hieb 1 Jimeb@rwwsh.com 1 Stucke@rwwsh.com 1 A. Richard is 1 Aberdeen, South pakota 57402 1 Jack H. Hieb 1 Jimeb@rwwsh.com 1 A. Richard is 1 A. Richard is 1 A. Richard is 1 A. Richard is 1 Geposition taken before?	HAVING BEEN FIRST DULY SWORN TO TESTIFY THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, RELATIVE TO THE CAUSE SPECIFIED, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:		
8 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: 9 Richardson, Wyly, Wise, Sauck & Hieb, LLP 10 Attorneys at Law 1 Court Street 10 A. Richard Wa 11 Aberdeen, South pakota 57402 11 Q. Is it okay 12 Jinieb@nwsh.com 13 Jinieb@nwsh.com 14 Q. Okay. Richard is 15 deposition taken before?			
Richardson, Wyly, Wise, Sauck & Hieb, LLP 9 Q. Could you Attorneys at Law 1 Court Street 10 A. Richard Wa P.O. Box 1030 11 Aberdeen, South pakota 57402 11 Q. Is it okay By: Jack H. Hieb By: Jac	MINATION		
Attorneys at Law  1 Court Street  1 Court Stre			
11	please state your name?		
Aberdeen, South pakota 57402 11 Q. Is it okay 12 Jack H. Hieb 13 Jack H. Hieb 13 A. Richard: 14 Q. Okay. Ric 15 deposition taken before?	gner.		
12 jhieb@nwsh.com 12 Richard? 13 A. Richard is 14 Q. Okay. Ric 15 deposition taken before?	today if I refer to you as		
13 A. Richard is 14 Q. Okay. Ric 15 deposition taken before?			
15 deposition taken before?	fine.		
	hard, have you ever had your		
16 A. I have not	deposition taken before?		
17 Q. If I ask y	ou a question that you don't		
18 understand, please tell	me that. Otherwise I'm going		
19 to assume that you under	stood the question. Is that		
20 agreeable to you?			
21 21 A. Yes.			
22 0. And I'll t	ry to let you finish your		
	next question, and I'd ask		
24 you to let me ask you th	COLUMN TO THE STATE OF THE STAT		
	reporter can get everything		
2	4		

Norman E. Mark Court Reporter Service 300 NP Avenue, Fargo, ND 58102 701-235-7571



```
1 just annotate your report for him after we're done
                                                            1 are still there; correct?
    today with the sections that you're referring to on
                                                            2
                                                                        A. That's correct.
 3
    the 10 States Standards?
                                                            3
                                                                        0.
                                                                            Which means that Sioux may very well.
                  THE WITNESS: I would think -- I would
                                                            4 even in your opinion, be able to serve new customers
 5
    think we could do it in a couple hours.
                                                            5 on the west side with these improvements being made;
 6
                  MR. HIEB: All right, fine, we'll do
                                                            6
                                                              correct?
 7
   it.
                                                            7
                                                                            With proper improvements they could add
 8
             Q. (Mr. Cole continuing) Okay. Did you
                                                            8
                                                              users on the distribution system.
    read, as part of your analysis in this case, did you
9
                                                            9
                                                                        Q. Do you have any facts that have been
10
    read Darin Schriever's deposition?
                                                           10 brought to your attention or do you know any facts
11
            A. Not thoroughly, but I did skim it.
                                                           11 that suggest that Sioux hasn't been able to serve any
12
             Q. Did you read Darin Schriever's report?
                                                           12 of its current customers on the west side?
13
                  MR. HIEB: That's it.
                                                           13
                                                                        A. I don't have any of that information.
14
                This one? Yes.
            A.
                                                           14
                                                                           Do you have any information that
15
             0.
                 Do you have any specifics where you
                                                           15 Sioux's not been able to serve its customers on the
16
    disagree with what Darin put in his report?
                                                           16 east side of Watertown?
17
            A. I guess I should back up. I read the
                                                           17
                                                                        A. I don't have any of that information.
18
    report, but I did not necessarily go through the
                                                           18
                                                                        Q. One of the things that was discussed in
19
    financial data in here. As far as your other
                                                           19 this report was fire flow. You understand that?
    question about any areas I disagreed with his report.
                                                           20
                                                                        A. I do.
    I did not disagree with it. I used his report
                                                           21
                                                                        Q.
                                                                            Who told you to include fire flow as a
    primarily as information source. And that's what I
                                                           22
                                                               consideration?
    used when I prepared my document.
                                                           73
                                                                        A. The fire flow is -- well, was factored
24
             Q. Darin has the opinion or had the
                                                           24 into the users on the east side of Watertown. And
25 opinion that Sioux could add customers on what we're
                                                           25 because they -- because I was under the impression
```

1 referring to here as the west side. Do you agree or 1 that since they currently have fire flow, the fire 2 disagree with that? 2 flow discussion should be included. 3 A. Based on the -- based on the treatment 3 Q. Do you know, with the rural water capacity that I was led to believe in this report, 4 systems that you work with, is it your understanding they are -- would be short of water during 5 that they're required to provide fire flow? peak - instantaneous peak demand periods. A. No. 7 Q. Okay. Are you aware of the pipeline 7 Q. It's your understanding that they're 8 improvements that Sioux was going to make on the west 8 not required to provide fire flow; correct? 9 side? 9 Correct. 10 A. There was some reference to those 10 Q. But in this report you included fire improvements, but I did not look at the improvements 11 flow. True? 12 that they were proposing. I looked at the existing 12 A. Correct. 13 or current system as it sits now. 13 Q. Why did you do that? 14 Q. And I'll tell you that it's been 14 There are current users -- or there are A. 15 represented to me that those improvements, as far as 15 users that Sioux Rural Water is looking to add to the pipelines and the pumping stations, have been 16 16 their system, and those users who are getting water completed on the west side. Okay? You didn't do any 17 17 from Watertown do have a fire flow demand or analysis of what the ability to serve would be once requirement. So if those users are being - are to 18 those improvements were made. 19 19 be served by Sioux Rural Water, it should be a 20 A. I did not. consideration or at least allow Sioux Rural Water the 21 Q. And one of the things that's noted in 21 option to inform those potential new users that they 22 your report is pressure problems on the west side. 22 will not be getting fire flow from Sioux Rural Water. 23 A. Correct. 23 Q. Don't you agree that those customers 24 With these improvements, you can't say 24 that you've identified on the east side, or potential 25 one way or the other whether those pressure problems 25 customers, that are actually being served by 20

17

```
1
                  MR. COLE: Can you go back and read the
 2 question that we're at issue here? I'm sorry to make
 3
    you do that.
 4
                  MR. HIEB: I think the question is, "Do
    you think that's fair?" That's the question.
                  THE COURT REPORTER: "Do you think
 7
    that's fair?"
             A. I don't know if I have an opinion on if
 8
 9
    it was fair or not. All I can say is that I was
    asked to do a specific task, and that's what I did.
10
            Q. (Mr. Cole continuing) Well, you're
11
    analyzing Sioux's capacity to serve; right?
12
13
            A. We reviewed the ability to serve, yes.
14
             Q. And Sioux's made some improvements to
    their facilities in that area; true?
16
            A. According to you, they have. I don't
    know that for a fact.
17
18
            Q. That's true according to me. But I've
19 been told that they've been made and they're actually
    using it right now.
21
            A. okay.
22
            Q. That's relevant to the issue of the
23
    ability to serve customers in that area, isn't it?
                 MR. HIEB: I object. That calls for a
24
25 legal conclusion. Go ahead.
                                                     25
```

```
1
             Q. Then how about the one underneath that
 2 says it starts with 400 gpm.
 3
             A. The -- yeah, I do reference 10 States
 4 Standards there. And in that case they - in that
 5 case the water treatment plant is not sized to meet
 6 10 States Standards -- excuse me, not sized to meet
    maximum day demand.
             Q. Per the 10 States Standards?
             A. I believe so. I would have to
 9
    re-review that to verify that.
11
             Q. And then the next one is 450 gpm.
    "Capacity appears deficient. Exceeds filter loading
13 rate of 400 gpm. Manganese breakthrough per WSAR."
14 What's that one based on?
15
             A. That's based on treatment objectives.
16 Assumed treatment objectives of Sioux Rural Water.
17
             Q. And manganese, as I understand it,
18 you've noted in your report is a cosmetic issue.
19
            A. That's correct.
            Q. It doesn't affect the safety of the
20
21
    drinking water.
22
            A. It does not.
23
             Q. Then we go to page 13. West side.
24 Capacity appears deficient. That's also based on the
```

27

25 10 States Standards?

A. If they made improvements, those improvements would affect the ability to serve those 2 3 users. Q. Okay. And Darin Schriever has opined 4 that he believes that with these improvements Sioux would be able to serve 200 additional customers in that west side area. Do you agree or disagree with 7 8 that? 9 A. I have no opinion on that. Q. Okay. When you, in your report in 10 11 Table 7 here on page 12 and 13, when you say capacity 12 appears to deficient, is that based on the 13 10 States Standards? 14 A. In some cases. 15 Q. Okay. Which cases? A. So, let's see, the first one is the 16 17 filters it looks like. That one references 10 States 17 18 Standards in the fact that 10 States Standards says 19 that if you only have two filters, each filter needs 20 to be able to meet the maximum day demand. In this 21 case it requires both filters to meet the maximum day 23 Q. So that one's based on the 10 States 24 Standards. 25 A. Yes.

1 A. Yes. Q. Then on the east side it says, 2 "Acceptability of capacity is unknown." 3 4 A. Correct. 5 Q. And on the fire flow demands you say 6 the capacity appears deficient, but that's not based 7 on the 10 States Standards, is it? A. No. 9 Q. That's based on what you were told to 10 analyze; correct? 11 A. That -- yeah, that was based on the 12 ability to provide an assumed fire flow. I don't 13 know how much fire flow they would be able to provide 14 so it's ... 15 Q. And same thing with regard to the east 16 side. True? A. Correct. 18 Q. The filtration capacity issue that 19 you've identified here, that's an issue that can be addressed by a rural water provider, isn't it? 20 21 A. With improvements? Q. A. Yeah, you can make improvements and you